Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/032,721	KUBOTA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin M Bernatz	1773
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>		
(1) <u>Kevin M Bernatz</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Michael Panian</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 January 2005	Time: noon	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicate  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: all		
Claims discussed: 13,14,31		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		·
<ul> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
This We full		
	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that the case was in condition for allowance, except for claims 13, 14 and 31. The Examiner noted that the allowable subject matter of an initial paramagnetic layer comprising a cobalt alloy having a thickness of less than 1.5 Angstroms could be inserted into the above identified claims to bring the case into condition for allowance. Applicants failed to reach a decision in a timely manner..